

Measure Theory with Ergodic Horizons

Lecture 20

Prop. Let (X, μ) be a measure space and $(f_n), f$ μ -measurable. If $f_n \rightarrow_{\mu} f$ then $f_n \rightarrow_{\mu} f$.

Proof. Fix $\alpha > 0$. We need to show that $\int_X (f_n, f) + \mu(\Delta_\alpha(f_n, f)) \rightarrow 0$.

By Chebyshev's inequality, $\mu(\Delta_\alpha(f_n, f)) = \mu(\{x \in X : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \alpha\}) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Prop (almost Hausdorffian). If $f_n \rightarrow_{\mu} f$ and $f_n \rightarrow g$, then $f = g$ a.e.

Proof. Let $\Delta(f, g) := \{x \in X : f \neq g\}$ and note that fixing any $\alpha_n > 0$, we have

$$\Delta(f, g) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_{\alpha_n}(f, g),$$

so it is enough to show that $\Delta_\alpha(f, g)$ is null for each $\alpha > 0$. But

$$\int_X (f, g) \leq \int_{\Delta_{\alpha/2}}(f, f) + \int_{\Delta_{\alpha/2}}(f, g) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Def. Call a sequence (f_n) Cauchy in measure if for each $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_X (f_n, f_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Prop. (a) If $f_n \rightarrow_{\mu} f$ then (f_n) is Cauchy in measure.

(b) If (f_n) is Cauchy in measure and admits a subsequence $f_{n_k} \rightarrow_{\mu} f$, then $f_n \rightarrow_{\mu} f$.

Def. HW.

We will show that the converse of part (a) holds, so the convergence in measure "uniformly" is complete.

Theorem. Let (f_n) be Cauchy in measure. Then there is a μ -measurable f such that $f_n \rightarrow_\mu f$. Moreover, $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e. for some subsequence.

Proof. Note that by part (b) above, we may restrict to subsequences.

Claim. We may assume WLOG that $\int_{2^{-n}}(f_n, f_{n+1}) \leq 2^{-n}$, by restricting to a subsequence.

Proof. We recursively build a subsequence (n_k) such that $\int_{2^{-k}}(f_{n_k}, f_{n_{k+1}}) \leq 2^{-k}$. Let $n_{-1} := 0$ and choose $n_k > n_{k-1}$ such that $\int_{2^{-k}}(f_{n_k}, f_m) \leq 2^{-k}$ for all $m \geq n_k$, where such n_k exists by the Cauchy condition. Then the sequence (f_{n_k}) is as desired. □

We now show that for a.e. $x \in X$, $(f_n(x))$ is Cauchy (as a sequence of reals).

By Borel-Cantelli, we have that for a.e. $x \in X$ $\exists N$ such that $x \notin B_N :=$

$\bigcup_{n \geq N} \Delta_{2^{-n}}(f_n, f_{n+1})$. But that for all $n \geq N$, and $m \geq n$, we have

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} |f_i(x) - f_{i+1}(x)| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} 2^{-i} \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the completeness of \mathbb{R} , there is a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for a.e. $x \in X$. Hence f is μ -measurable being the pointwise limit of measurable functions. It remains to show that $f_n \rightarrow_\mu f$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let N be large enough so that $\epsilon \geq 2^{-N}$. Then for all $n \geq N$,

$$\delta_\epsilon(f_n, f) \leq \delta_{2^{-n}}(f_n, f) \leq \mu(B_N) \leq \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Cor. If $f_n \rightarrow_\mu f$ then $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e. for some subsequence. In particular, if $f_n \rightarrow_\mu f$ then $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ a.e. for some subsequence.

Proof. By part (a), (f_n) is Cauchy in measure, so by the previous theorem $f_n \rightarrow_\mu g$ for some μ -measurable function such that $f_{n_k} \rightarrow g$ a.e. for some subsequence. By almost Hausdorffness, $f = g$ a.e. \square

✓ This is just the dual to Borel-Cantelli.

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \exists N \forall x$ trick. Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space. Let $P_n \subseteq X$ be an increasing sequence of measurable sets. For every $\delta > 0$,

$$\forall x \in X \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ x \in P_n \Rightarrow \exists n \forall x \in X \ x \in P_n,$$

here $\forall x$ means that the statement is true for all $x \in X$ except for a set of measure $\leq \delta$.

Proof. The hypothesis means that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$ so by monotonicity of measure, P_n has measure $\mu(X) - \delta$ for all large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence for such n , we have that $\forall x \in X$ we have $x \in P_n$, except for $x \in X \setminus P_n$. \square

Prop. Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space and $(f_n), f$ be μ -measurable functions,

If $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. then $f_n \rightarrow_p f$.

Proof. Discarding a null set, we may assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ so for each $d > 0$, $\forall x \in X \exists N_{x,d} \forall n \geq N_{x,d} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < d$. By the quantifier swapping trick, we have that for each $d > 0$, there is N such that $\Delta_d(f, f_n)$ has measure $\leq \delta$ for all $n \geq N$. Thus, $\Delta_d(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $f_n \rightarrow_p f$. \square

Almost uniform convergence.

Let X be a set and $f_n, f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Recall that we say that (f_n) converges uniformly to f , denoted $f_n \rightarrow_u f$, if $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\|g\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in X} |g(x)|.$$

Egorov's Theorem. Let (X, μ) be a finite measure space and $f_n, f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable.

If $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. then for each $\delta > 0$, $f_n|_{X'} \rightarrow_u f|_{X'}$ for a measurable set $X' \subseteq X$ with $\mu(X \setminus X') \leq \delta$.

Proof. We have that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \forall x \in X \exists N$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N |f_n - f| < \epsilon$.

Let $\epsilon_k \searrow 0$. Then swapping the quantifiers, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get N_k such that for all $x \in X \setminus X_k$ we have $\forall n \geq N_k |f_n - f| < \epsilon_k$, where X_k is a set of measure $\leq \delta \cdot 2^{-(k+1)}$. Let $X' := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} X_k$, so $\mu(X') \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(X_k) \leq \delta$. Then $\forall k \exists N_k$ such that for all $x \in X \setminus X'$, we have $\forall n \geq N_k, |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon_k$.

Thus, $\forall \varepsilon_k \exists N_k \forall f_n |_{X_1} - f|_{X_1} \leq \varepsilon_k$, so $f_n|_{X_1} \rightarrow_a f|_{X_1}$. □

Product measures

Let (X, \mathcal{X}) and (Y, \mathcal{Y}) be measurable spaces. Recall that $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by the "rectangles", i.e. sets of the form $U \times V$, where $U \in \mathcal{I}$ and $V \in \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem. For any measure spaces (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{Y}, ν) , there is a measure ρ on $(X \times Y, \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$ such that $\rho(U \times V) = \mu(U) \cdot \nu(V)$ for each rectangle $U \times V$, i.e. $U \in \mathcal{I}$ and $V \in \mathcal{J}$. If μ and ν are σ -finite, this measure is unique and we denote it by $\mu \times \nu$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra generated by the rectangles and note that each set in \mathcal{A} is a finite disjoint union of rectangles because $(U \times V)^c = U \times V^c \sqcup U^c \times V \sqcup U^c \times V^c$. To prove the theorem it's enough to show that the formula $\rho(U \times V) = \mu(U) \cdot \nu(V)$ defines a premeasure on \mathcal{A} and apply Carathéodory's Theorem. As usual, we need to show that ρ on \mathcal{A} is well-defined, i.e. $\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \times V_i = \bigsqcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{U}_j \times \tilde{V}_j \Rightarrow \rho(\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \times V_i) = \rho(\bigsqcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{U}_j \times \tilde{V}_j)$. We also need to show that ρ is σ -additive. Both of this would follow if we prove that $U \times V = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \times V_n \Rightarrow \mu(U) \cdot \nu(V) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(U_n) \cdot \nu(V_n)$. We prove this using MCT twice. Note that $\mathbb{1}_{U \times V} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{U_n \times V_n}$ and

$\mathbb{1}_{u \times v}(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_u(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_v(y)$ so integrating over Y we get: for each $x \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y \mathbb{1}_u(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_v(y) d\nu(y) &= \int_Y \mathbb{1}_{u \times v}(x, y) d\nu(y) = \int_Y \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{u_n \times v_n}(x, y) d\nu(y) \stackrel{MCT}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_Y \mathbb{1}_{u_n \times v_n}(x, y) d\nu(y) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_u(x) \cdot \nu(V) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_Y \mathbb{1}_{u_n}(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{v_n}(y) d\nu(y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{u_n}(x) \nu(V_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now we integrate over X : $\mu(u) \cdot \nu(V) = \nu(V) \cdot \int_X \mathbb{1}_u d\mu = \int_X \mathbb{1}_u \cdot \nu(V) d\mu =$

$$= \int_X \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{1}_{u_n} \cdot \nu(V_n) d\mu \stackrel{MCT}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu(V_n) \cdot \int_X \mathbb{1}_{u_n} d\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(u_n) \cdot \nu(V_n). \quad \square$$